




THE NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

TOWNSEND HARRIS HIGH SCHOOL

AT QUEENS COLLEGE



2008 INTEL SCHOOL OF DISTINCTION FOR SCIENCE EXCELLENCE

149-11 Melbourne Avenue
Flushing, New York 11367

www.thhs.qc.edu
Kenneth Bonamo, Principal

Tel (718) 575-5580
Fax (718) 575-1366

Susan Brustein
Assistant Principal, Science and Technology
Email: sbrustein@thhs.qc.edu

GRADING RUBRIC FOR COLLATERAL PROJECTS AND RESEARCH REPORTS

Distinguished 100% 90	Proficient 95 - - 94%	Satisfactory 80 - 89%	Novice 70 - 79 %
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The student establishes and maintains a clear focus throughout the research paper. There is evidence of a distinctive voice and/or appropriate tone. •The research paper contains a depth and complexity of ideas which are supported by rich, engaging , and , or pertinent details. •There is evidence of analysis, reflection and insight in the writing of the report. •The works cited indicates substantial research. •The paper is carefully and suitably organized. •The paper is well written. Sentence structure as well as length are varied. •The paper is written in precise and/or rich language • The report is formatted appropriately to the topic and neatly typed. • The paper contains neither spelling nor grammatical errors. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The student establishes a focus but does not carry this focus completely through the research paper. There is evidence of voice and/or suitable tone. • The research paper contains a depth of idea development that is supported by elaborated, relevant details. • The references cited indicate ample research. • There is a logical organization to the report. • The paper is written in a controlled and varied sentence structure. • The paper is written in acceptable and effective language. •There are few errors in the grammar or format of the report relative to the length and complexity of the report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The student demonstrates an attempt to establish and maintain purpose and communicate with the audience. • The research paper contains unelaborated idea development and contains unelaborated or repetitious details. • The number of references cited are very limited. • There are lapses in the focus and /or coherence of the paper. • The paper is written in simplistic and/or imprecise language. • There are some errors in grammar and/or format, but these lapses do not interfere with the communication of ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The student demonstrates a limited awareness of audience and/or purpose. • There is minimal idea development evidence in the report. • The paper contains limited and/or unrelated details. • There is a paucity of references cited. • The paper exhibits random or weak organization. • Incorrect or missing topic and/or transition sentences are contained in the paper. • The paper contains incorrect and/or ineffective wording and/or sentence structure. • There are errors in grammar and format (e.g., spelling, punctuation, capitalization and headings) that detract from communication of ideas